

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,908	10/618,908 07/14/2003		Shane E. Weyant	DB001016-002	6851
, 75	90	10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
DOCKET CLERK			•	MAYO, TARA L	
P. O. DRAWER	R 800889				· ·
DALLAS, TX 75380				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	3671	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/618,908	WEYANT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tara L. Mayo	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2004.				
<u>'</u>	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 24,25,27-30 and 32-40 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-40 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 24,25,27-30,32 and 33 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a)☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040614.

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,908 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 34 through 40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 14 September 2004.

- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of Species A in the reply filed on 14 September 2004 is acknowledged. No grounds for the traversal have been presented. Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: "14."

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures

Art Unit: 3671

appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of claim 30 is indefinite by the positive recitation "said tieback fastener" on both lines 2 and 3. It is unclear if the scope of the claim is intended to encompass the tieback rod alone, the combination of the tieback rod and the fastener, or the combination of the tieback rod, the fastener and a retaining wall having a cap channel or wale. For the purposes of prosecution on the merits, the Examiner has considered the claim to be drawn to the combination of the tieback rod, the fastener and the retaining wall having a cap channel or wale.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,908 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

8. Claims 24, 25, 27 through 29, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Enduro Systems DuroThread.

Enduro Systems DuroThread shows a tieback rod comprising: with regard to claims 24 and 32,

a rod shaft having a first end and a second end; and

wherein said rod shaft is comprised of composite material and is of unitary construction;

with regard to claims 25 and 32,

wherein said composite material is fiber reinforced plastic;

with regard to claim 27,

wherein said rod shaft is of a predetermined diameter and said first end is separated from said second end by a predetermined length;

with regard to claim 28,

wherein said first end is operable to be secured to a tieback system anchor; and with regard to claim 29,

Art Unit: 3671

wherein said second end includes a threaded portion, said threaded portion operable to matingly engage threads of a tieback fastener.

With regard to claim 33, the method of forming the tieback rod is not germane to the issue of patentability. Therefore, the claimed limitation of forming the rod by a pultrusion process has not been given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3671

11. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enduro Systems DuroThread in view of Rainey (U.S. Patent No. 6,168,351 B1).

Enduro Systems DuroThread further discloses:

with regard to claim 30,

the combination of a tieback rod in combination with a tieback fastener, wherein said threaded portion of said second end is engaged with said tieback fastener.

Enduro Systems DuroThread fails to disclose the tieback rod and fastener in combination with a retaining wall having a cap channel or a wale.

Rainey '351, as seen in Figure 2, shows a retaining wall (10) including a wale (42) for anchoring a threaded rod (38) and fastener (56). See col. 3, line 32 through col. 4, line 14.

With regard to claim 30, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of earth control at the time the invention was made to use the device shown by Enduro Systems DuroThread in combination with a retaining wall including a wale as taught by Rainey '351. The motivation would have been to stabilize an earthen mass behind a retaining wall with corrosion resistant members.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24, 25, 27 through 30, 32 and 33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 703-305-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,908

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

07 October 2004

Supervisory Palent Examiner

Page 8